Case 1:05-cv-11045-GAO Document 12

Filed 08/17/2005 Page 1 of 2 PROCESS RECEIPT AND RETURN

U.S. Department of Justice United States Marshals Service

See Instructions for "Service of Process by the U.S. Marshal" on the reverse of this form.

PLAINTIFF	COURT CASE NUMBER	
MECHAEL, BASZ	05-1104	5-640
DEFENDANT MICHIGEL MOLLINEY, SIM	TYPE OF PROCESS	
MEANING THERE	ctyth A	CITEN
SERVE NAME OF INDIVIDUAL, COMPANY, CORPORATION, ETC., TO SERVE OR	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY TO S	EIZE OR CONDEMN
SGT-JOHN Flowers SBCC		
ADDRESS (Street or RFD, Apartment No., City, State and ZIP Code)		
	MA SIGHER	
SEND NOTICE OF SERVICE COPY TO REQUESTER AT NAME AND ADDRESS BELOW:	Number of process to be	ĵ
The cities DIAST	served with this Form - 285	\
MET CEARE JUNCTION PO BOX 100	Number of parties to be	
MOT COMP JAN	served in this case	12
LSO. WALPOLE, MA 02071-0100	Check for service	
	on U.S.A.	, <u>=</u>
SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR OTHER INFORMATION THAT WILL ASSIST IN EXPEDITING	SERVICE (Include Business and AH)	emate Addresses, All
Telephone Numbers, and Estimated Times Available For Service):	200	BD Rold
THENE 9-13-514-6500		, SEE —
1100010	W	2.2.5
	Ū	ENSE.
	ببا	R
	ພ <b>ຜ</b>	• •
Signature of Attorney or other Originator requesting service on behalf of:		DATE
DEFENDANT	-	7/23/05
CRACE RELOW FOR HEL OF HE MARCHAL ONLY DO	NOT HAVE DELON	11=2100
SPACE BELOW FOR USE OF U.S. MARSHAL ONLY — DO		THIS LINE
I acknowledge receipt for the total number of process indicated.  Total Process District District Signature of Authori to Serve	zed USMS Deputy or Clerk	Date
(Sign only first USM 285 if more)	Tolouer	8/3/05
I hereby certify and return that I $\square$ have personally served, $\square$ have legal evidence of service, $\square$ have excon the individual, company, corporation, etc., at the address shown above or on the individual, company,	•	
☐ I hereby certify and return that I am unable to locate the individual, company, corporation, etc	., named above (See remarks below)	
Name and title of individual served (if not shown above)		able age and dis- ling in the defendant's
Address (complete only if different than shown above)	Date of Service Ti:	
		pm
	Signature of U.S. Ma	arshal or Deputy
Service Fee		Amount of Refund

AO 440 (Rev. 10/93) Summons in a Civil Action

T	T	N	T	Т	H	'T	•	S	Т	Δ	7	ľ	r	C		n	)1	S	'n	Γī	D.	T	("	r	1	7		ì	T	D'	Т	í
ı.	)	1.4			Р.	∕.	,	L)	1	м			עים	J	)		,	10	) ]		•	I١			•		u	, ,	,	К		

District of MASSACHUSETTS MICHAEL BAEZ **Plaintiff** SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE V. MICHAEL MALONEY, ET AL. CASE C.A. 05-11045-GAO **Defendants** TO: (Name and address of Defendant) JOHN FLOWERS, SGT. SUUZA BARANOWSKE CUERECTEUNAL CENTER PU BOX BOLO SHIPLEY MA 01464 YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address) MICHAEL BAEZ, PRO SE MCI CEDOR JUNCTION 50. Waldle WY 050-11-0100 \* or answer as otherwise required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by actain by like against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You must also file your answer with the clerk of a reasonable period of time after service.

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

MICHAEL BAEZ, Plaintiff.

V.

Civil Action No. 05-11045-GAO

MICHAEL MALONEY, ET AL., Defendants

# ORDER ON APPLICATION TO PROCEED WITHOUT PREPAYMENT OF FEES

Now before the Court is plaintiff's Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit:

### **FINDINGS** The Court finds the following: Is plaintiff a "prisoner" as defined in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(h)? Α. $\boxtimes$ Nο Yes B. Is a filing fee, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b), to be assessed at this time? 1. Yes 🗆 Plaintiff is obligated to pay the statutory filing fee immediately. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). (\$250.00 for a civil action or \$255.00 for a notice of appeal). 2. Yes 🖾 An initial partial filing fee of **\$.80** is assessed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). The remainder of the fee \$249.20 is to be assessed in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). 3. Yes $\square$ Plaintiff has proffered evidence of being without funds for six months and being currently without funds. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2), plaintiff is assessed an obligation to make monthly payments of 20 percent of the preceding month's income credited to the prisoner's account each time it exceeds \$10.00 towards the payment of the \$250.00 filing fee. 4. No □ Plaintiff is not assessed a filing fee at this time.

C.				U.S.C. § 1915 and/or 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, which, does the court make?
	1.			d that the complaint is frivolous, malicious, or fails ich relief may be granted?  as to the claims against defendant(s)
	2.			d that the complaint seeks relief from a defendant nmune from such relief?  as to the claims against defendant(s)
	3.	42 U.S.C. §	1997e, Sec. 7	7(g)(2):
				ny defendant to reply to a complaint if it [the tiff has a reasonable opportunity to prevail on the
		pleading req that the plair	uirements for ntiff has a rea	mined that the complaint is sufficient to satisfy the or stating a cognizable claim and, second, found asonable opportunity to prevail on the merits on the complaint against one or more defendants?
		a. Yes 🗆	The court ha	as so determined and found as to defendant(s)
		b. No 🗆		
		c. No 🗆	plaintiff will p	e court has determined that the likelihood that prevail on the merits falls short of the copportunity" standard of the statute, as to nst
			☐ all defen	ndants

	d. Canno	ot say								
		⊠ ⊠ ;	on the	ese que s again	estior st	ns on th		nt reco	a determin ord as to th	
			(	ORDEF	RS					
Basec	I upon the forego	oina it is	ORDER	ED:	_					
1.	May the applica		roceed w No	/ithout p	prepa	ayment	of fees	be GR	ANTED?	
2.	If a finding is ma subject to early			aph B a	abov	e, is it a	n provisi	onal fin	ding that	is
	Ye	es 🛛	No							
	If the above and days of the date account, or a sta perjury, showing without paymen plaintiff, the cou to modify parag	of this ( atement g eligibili t of as m rt will co	Order, eit signed b ty to prod nuch as f	ther a c by plaint beed in ound in	ertification tiff ur this at the third the thi	ed copy nder the action v agraph	of his/ pains without   B above	her pris and per paying a to be	on trust nalties of a filing fee assessed	or the
3.	Is it FURTHER clerk send a cop	by of this					-	•	, , ,	the
4.	Is it FURTHER Marshal serve a the plaintiff with	copy of	the com of service	plaint, s ce to be	sumr e adv	nons, a	and this by the l	order a	s directed	
				□。	nlv a	s to de	fendant	s		
5.	Is it FURTHER	ORDER	ED that t	he Cler as	k dis s to a nly as	miss co all defer	ertain cl	aims in	this actio	n ?
				Page 3	3					

6.	a.	Although defendant(s) may not have been served with a summons and complaint, are the defendant(s) invited but not required to file an answer to aid the court in reaching a prompt final disposition on the merits?										
		No ☐ Yes ☒☒ as to all defendants										
	OR	☐ only as to defendant(s)										
	b.	If the defendant(s) have been served with a summons and complaint, are the defendant(s) required to reply within the time specified in the summons?  No   Yes   as to all defendants										
		only as to defendants										
Dated	: June	24, 2005  /s/ George A. O'Toole  GEORGE A. O'TOOLE  UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE										

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

MICHAEL BAEZ,
Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 05-11045-GAO

MICHAEL MALONEY, ET AL., Defendants

#### MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

O'TOOLE, D.J.

In his Complaint, Plaintiff has requested appointment of counsel. This request for appointment of counsel is denied without prejudice.

It is well settled that the decision to appoint counsel is discretionary, and neither a civil litigant nor a habeas petitioner has a constitutional or statutory right to appointed counsel.

Dellenbach v. Hanks, 76 F.3d 820, 823 (7th Cir. 1996), cert. denied. 519 U.S. 894 (1996);

Jackson v. Coalter, 337 F.3d 74 (1st Cir. 2003)(state prisoner had no right to counsel to collaterally attack sentence). In order to qualify for appointment of counsel, a party must be indigent and exceptional circumstances must exist such that the denial of counsel will result in fundamental unfairness impinging on the party's due process rights. See DesRosiers v. Moran, 949 F. 2d 15, 23 (1st Cir. 1991). See also Manisy v. Maloney, 283 F. Supp. 2d 307, 317 (D. Mass. 2003)(Memorandum and Order on Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Dein, adopted (on the denial of counsel issue) by Judge Stearns by Memorandum and Order (#32) dated September 4, 2003 in C.A. 01-11849-RGS). In determining whether there are exceptional circumstances sufficient to warrant the appointment of counsel, a court must examine the total situation, focusing on the merits of the case, the complexity of the legal issues, and the litigant's

ability to represent himself. DesRosiers, 949 F. 2d at 23-24 (citations omitted).

While the Court finds that the Plaintiff in this action has demonstrated that he is without sufficient funds to retain counsel, he has not yet demonstrated the kind of "exceptional circumstances" that warrant appointment of counsel in this action. Petitioner merely requests "a law firm be appointed" but does not provide any facts whatsoever to justify a *pro bono* appointment of counsel. There is no indication here that the Plaintiff is unable to represent himself competently due to lack of mental capacity or lack of understanding of the English language. In fact, Petitioner's pleadings to date appear to be well-organized and coherent, suggesting a proficiency in the English language and at least some familiarity with legal terms and prison law. The mere fact that he is a prisoner and is proceeding *pro se* is insufficient to call for appointment of counsel, because such assertions essentially could be made by any prisoner litigant. Further, Petitioner has not shown that this case raises novel or complex issues of law.

Absent such indications, appointment is not warranted at this time, and the request is Denied.

The denial of the request, however, is without prejudice to renew after the Defendants have filed a response to the Complaint. If the Plaintiff wishes to renew his request, he must file a "Motion for Appointment of Counsel" setting forth the exceptional circumstances which he alleges exist in this case which would support the motion.

Dated: June 24, 2005

/s/ George A. O'Toole

GEORGE A. O'TOOLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE